

INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE EVALUATION SYSTEM  
INSTRUCTOR REPORT

PAGE 1

FOR: A. KLOECKNER DEPT CS COURSE 450 SECTION B3 DEPT CODE 26150 SEMESTER: SPNG YEAR: 2016  
DEMOGRAPHIC DATA IN PERCENTS BASED ON 42 COMPLETED FORMS

1. CLASS STATUS  
FRESH SOPH JUNIOR SENIOR GRAD OTHER OMIT  
2 7 33 33 21 0 2

2. PRE-COURSE OPINION TOWARD:  
POS NO OP NEG OMIT  
INSTRUCTOR 57 43 0 0  
COURSE 52 45 2 0

3. THIS COURSE WAS DESIGNATED MIXED REQ/ELEC

SPECIFICALLY REQUIRED BUT ELECTIVE OMIT  
REQUIRED A CHOICE  
29 29 38 5

4. COURSE IN:

MAJOR MINOR OTHER OMIT  
57 19 19 5

5. SEX: MALE FEMALE OMIT  
69 14 17

6. EXPECTED GRADE: MEAN = 4.4

A B C D E OMIT  
50 19 10 5 0 17

INSTRUCTOR DESIGNATED CLASS TYPE: LECTURE/DISCUSSION

```

-----
*  OPTIONAL ITEMS--CATEGORIES  *
*                               *
*           1   2   3   4   5   OMIT *
*                               *
*  ITEM A    0   0   0   0   0   100 *
*                               *
*  ITEM B    0   0   0   0   0   100 *
*                               *
*                               *
-----
    
```

GLOBAL CORE ITEM RESULTS

PERCENTS WITH FREQUENCIES BENEATH

COMPARISON OF MEAN RATING

ITEM	EXCEPTIONALLY HIGH-5					EXCEPTIONALLY LOW-1					OMIT		SUMMARY STATISTICS		COMPARISON OF MEAN RATING				
	57	31	7	0	0	5	4.5	0.6	1	2	ROW 1: MIXED REQ/FACULTY	ROW 2: CS FACULTY	LOW	AVG	HI	HI			
1. RATE THE INSTRUCTOR'S OVERALL TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS	( 24 )	( 13 )	( 3 )	( 0 )	( 0 )	( 2 )													
2. RATE THE OVERALL QUALITY OF THIS COURSE	( 18 )	( 17 )	( 3 )	( 2 )	( 0 )	( 2 )	4.3	0.8	1	2									

INTERPRETATION GUIDE FOR COMPARISON OF MEANS

THE CLASS MEAN RATING IS COMPARED WITH ONE OR TWO GROUPS. GROUP 1 (ROW 1 FOR EACH ITEM) IS CAMPUS-WIDE BASED ON REQUIRED/ELECTIVE NATURE OF COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR RANK. GROUP 2 (ROW 2) IS DEPARTMENT-WIDE. THE CLASS MEAN (THE 'X') IS DENOTED UNDER ONE OF THE FOLLOWING FIVE NORM CATEGORIES:

HI - HIGHEST 10%  
HI AVG - NEXT 20%  
AVG - MIDDLE 40%  
LOW AVG - NEXT 20%  
LOW - LOWEST 10%

EACH ROW CONTAINING AN X AND SEVERAL O'S SHOWS THE CLASS AVERAGE RATING IN COMPARISON TO GROUPS 1 AND 2. X INDICATES THE RELATIVE PLACEMENT OF THE MEAN. O'S INDICATE THE RANGE OF UNCERTAINTY. THE RATINGS SHOULD BE READ, FOR EXAMPLE, AS LOW-AVERAGE TO AVERAGE OR HIGH-AVERAGE TO HIGH TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE RANGE OF UNCERTAINTY.

STUDENT RESPONSES TO DEPARTMENTAL CORE ITEMS, STUDENT CORE ITEMS, INSTRUCTOR SELECTED ITEMS, OR COMPLETE FORM ITEMS ARE PRESENTED NEXT. THE RESULTS ARE TO BE INTERPRETED IN THE SAME WAY. HOWEVER, NORMATIVE DATA MAY NOT BE AVAILABLE.

INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE EVALUATION SYSTEM  
DEPARTMENTAL CORE ITEM RESULTS

INSTRUCTOR REPORT A. KLOECKNER

CS

450 B3 26150 NO. FORMS= 42 SP 16 PAGE 2

	PERCENTS WITH FREQUENCIES BENEATH							SUMMARY		COMPARISON OF MEAN RATING TO				
	ALWAYS CLEAR	--	--	--	NEVER CLEAR	OMIT	STATISTICS	MEAN	SD	CS FACULTY				
										LOW	LOW AVG	AVG	HI AVG	HI
3. STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES AND PURPOSES THROUGHOUT COURSE. (ITEM 3014, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	60 ( 25)	31 ( 13)	10 ( 4)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	4.5	0.7					00X000	
4. HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THE INSTRUCTOR'S ABILITY TO EXPLAIN? (ITEM 244, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	67 ( 28)	29 ( 12)	5 ( 2)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	4.6	0.6					00X000	
5. THE INSTRUCTOR SEEMED WELL PREPARED FOR CLASSES. (ITEM 248, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	79 ( 33)	19 ( 8)	2 ( 1)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	4.8	0.5					00X00	
6. THE INSTRUCTOR PROMOTED AN ATMOSPHERE CONDUCTIVE TO LEARNING. (ITEM 3015, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	74 ( 31)	17 ( 7)	10 ( 4)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	4.6	0.6					00X000	
7. RATE YOUR QUALITY OF INTERACTIONS WITH TA'S (IF ANY). (ITEM 3016, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	50 ( 21)	26 ( 11)	12 ( 5)	5 ( 2)	0 ( 0)	7 ( 3)	4.3	0.9					0000X000	
8. COMPLETING ASSIGNMENTS/PROJECTS WAS A GOOD USE OF MY TIME AND EFFORT. (ITEM 3017, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	55 ( 23)	29 ( 12)	5 ( 2)	5 ( 2)	7 ( 3)	0 ( 0)	4.2	1.2					000X000	
9. ASSIGNMENTS WERE WELL PREPARED, UNDERSTANDABLE, AND MISTAKE FREE. (ITEM 3018, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	31 ( 13)	45 ( 19)	17 ( 7)	5 ( 2)	2 ( 1)	0 ( 0)	4.0	0.9					00X00	
10. WERE ASSIGNMENTS/PROJECTS RETURNED PROMPTLY? (ITEM 3019, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	50 ( 21)	21 ( 9)	17 ( 7)	10 ( 4)	2 ( 1)	0 ( 0)	4.1	1.1					00X000	
11. THE GRADING PROCEDURES FOR THE COURSE WERE: (ITEM 101, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	62 ( 26)	29 ( 12)	7 ( 3)	2 ( 1)	0 ( 0)	0 ( 0)	4.5	0.7					000X000	
										LOW	LOW AVG	AVG	HI AVG	HI

SEE NEWSLETTER 4--ICES INTERPRETIVE GUIDE--FOR A MORE COMPLETE DESCRIPTION. CALL 333-3490 FOR QUESTIONS AND MORE INFORMATION.

	PERCENTS WITH FREQUENCIES BENEATH										SUMMARY		COMPARISON OF MEAN RATING TO				
											OMIT	STATISTICS	CS FACULTY				
12. THE COURSE WAS:	OVERLY	--	--	--	TOO												
(ITEM 141, WEIGHTS: 13531 )	DEMANDING				EASY						MEAN	SD	LOW	LOW	AVG	HI	HI
	17	40	40	0	0	2					3.5	1.5		AVG			
	( 7)	( 17)	( 17)	( 0)	( 0)	( 1)									00X000		
13. HOW MANY STUDENTS DO YOU THINK HAVE CHEATED IN ANY WAY IN THIS CLASS? (ITEM 666, WEIGHTS: 12345 )	MORE THAN HALF	--	--	--	NONE												
	10	10	26	21	29	5											
	( 4)	( 4)	( 11)	( 9)	( 12)	( 2)					3.5	1.3			000X0000		
14. COMPARED TO OTHER COURSES, HOW MUCH DID YOU LEARN IN THIS COURSE? (ITEM 161, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	MUCH MORE	--	--	--	MUCH LESS												
	29	45	17	5	2	2											
	( 12)	( 19)	( 7)	( 2)	( 1)	( 1)					4.0	0.9				000X00	
15. DID THIS COURSE INCREASE YOUR INTEREST IN THE SUBJECT MATTER? (ITEM 191, WEIGHTS: 54321 )	YES, GREATLY	--	--	--	NO, NOT MUCH												
	38	26	19	5	12	0											
	( 16)	( 11)	( 8)	( 2)	( 5)	( 0)					3.7	1.3			000X000		
													LOW	LOW	AVG	HI	HI

SEE NEWSLETTER 4--ICES INTERPRETIVE GUIDE--FOR A MORE COMPLETE DESCRIPTION. CALL 333-3490 FOR QUESTIONS AND MORE INFORMATION.