

INSTRUCTOR AND COURSE EVALUATION SYSTEM
INSTRUCTOR REPORT

PAGE 1

FOR: A. KLOECKNER DEPT CS COURSE 598
DEMOGRAPHIC DATA IN PERCENTS BASED ON 7 COMPLETED FORMS

SECTION K DEPT CODE 26150 SEMESTER: FALL YEAR: 2013

1. CLASS STATUS
FRESH SOPH JUNIOR SENIOR GRAD OTHER OMIT
0 0 0 0 71 0 29

5. SEX: MALE FEMALE OMIT
43 14 43

2. PRE-COURSE OPINION TOWARD:
POS NO OP NEG OMIT
INSTRUCTOR 57 14 0 29
COURSE 57 14 0 29

6. EXPECTED GRADE: MEAN = 4.2

A B C D E OMIT
57 0 0 0 14 29

3. THIS COURSE WAS DESIGNATED ELECTIVE

SPECIFICALLY REQUIRED BUT ELECTIVE OMIT
REQUIRED A CHOICE
14 0 43 43

INSTRUCTOR DESIGNATED CLASS TYPE: LECTURE/DISCUSSION

4. COURSE IN:

MAJOR MINOR OTHER OMIT
29 0 29 43

OPTIONAL ITEMS--CATEGORIES						
	1	2	3	4	5	OMIT
* ITEM A	0	0	0	0	0	100
* ITEM B	0	0	0	0	0	100

GLOBAL CORE ITEM RESULTS

PERCENTS WITH FREQUENCIES BENEATH

COMPARISON OF MEAN RATING

ITEM	EXCEPTIONALLY HIGH-5							SUMMARY STATISTICS		COMPARISON OF MEAN RATING				
	43	57	0	0	0	0	0	MEAN	STD DEV	ROW 1: ELECTIVE/ FACULTY	ROW 2: CS FACULTY	LOW	AVG	HI
1. RATE THE INSTRUCTOR'S OVERALL TEACHING EFFECTIVENESS	(3)	(4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	4.4	0.5	1	2	LOW	AVG	HI
2. RATE THE OVERALL QUALITY OF THIS COURSE	(3)	(4)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	(0)	4.4	0.5	1	2	LOW	AVG	HI

INTERPRETATION GUIDE FOR COMPARISON OF MEANS
THE CLASS MEAN RATING IS COMPARED WITH ONE OR TWO GROUPS.
GROUP 1 (ROW 1 FOR EACH ITEM) IS CAMPUS-WIDE BASED ON
REQUIRED/ELECTIVE NATURE OF COURSE AND INSTRUCTOR RANK.
GROUP 2 (ROW 2) IS DEPARTMENT-WIDE. THE CLASS MEAN
(THE 'X') IS DENOTED UNDER ONE OF THE FOLLOWING FIVE NORM
CATEGORIES:

HI - HIGHEST 10%
HI AVG - NEXT 20%
AVG - MIDDLE 40%
LOW AVG - NEXT 20%
LOW - LOWEST 10%

EACH ROW CONTAINING AN X AND SEVERAL O'S SHOWS THE CLASS
AVERAGE RATING IN COMPARISON TO GROUPS 1 AND 2.
X INDICATES THE RELATIVE PLACEMENT OF THE MEAN.
O'S INDICATE THE RANGE OF UNCERTAINTY. THE RATINGS SHOULD
BE READ, FOR EXAMPLE, AS LOW-AVERAGE TO AVERAGE OR
HIGH-AVERAGE TO HIGH TO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE RANGE OF
UNCERTAINTY.

STUDENT RESPONSES TO DEPARTMENTAL CORE ITEMS, STUDENT CORE
ITEMS, INSTRUCTOR SELECTED ITEMS, OR COMPLETE FORM ITEMS
ARE PRESENTED NEXT. THE RESULTS ARE TO BE INTERPRETED IN
THE SAME WAY. HOWEVER, NORMATIVE DATA MAY NOT BE AVAILABLE.

	PERCENTS WITH FREQUENCIES BENEATH						SUMMARY OMIT STATISTICS		COMPARISON OF MEAN RATING TO				
	ALWAYS CLEAR				NEVER CLEAR		MEAN	SD	CS LOW AVG	FACULTY LOW AVG	HI AVG	HI	
3. STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES AND PURPOSES THROUGHOUT COURSE. (ITEM 3014, WEIGHTS: 54321)	29 (2)	71 (5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	4.3	0.4					00X000
4. HOW WOULD YOU CHARACTERIZE THE INSTRUCTOR'S ABILITY TO EXPLAIN? (ITEM 244, WEIGHTS: 54321)	EXCELLENT 57 (4)	-- 43 (3)	-- 0 (0)	-- 0 (0)	-- 0 (0)	0 (0)	4.6	0.5					00X000
5. THE INSTRUCTOR SEEMED WELL PREPARED FOR CLASSES. (ITEM 248, WEIGHTS: 54321)	YES, ALWAYS 86 (6)	-- 14 (1)	-- 0 (0)	-- 0 (0)	NO, SELDOM 0 (0)	0 (0)	4.9	0.4					00X00
6. THE INSTRUCTOR PROMOTED AN ATMOSPHERE CONDUCTIVE TO LEARNING. (ITEM 3015, WEIGHTS: 54321)	STRONGLY AGREE 86 (6)	-- 14 (1)	-- 0 (0)	-- 0 (0)	STRONGLY DISAGREE 0 (0)	0 (0)	4.9	0.4					00X00
7. RATE YOUR QUALITY OF INTERACTIONS WITH TA'S (IF ANY). (ITEM 3016, WEIGHTS: 54321)	EXCELLENT 86 (6)	-- 0 (0)	-- 0 (0)	-- 0 (0)	VERY POOR 0 (0)	14 (1)	5.0	0.0					0000X
8. COMPLETING ASSIGNMENTS/PROJECTS WAS A GOOD USE OF MY TIME AND EFFORT. (ITEM 3017, WEIGHTS: 54321)	STRONGLY AGREE 43 (3)	-- 29 (2)	-- 14 (1)	-- 0 (0)	STRONGLY DISAGREE 0 (0)	14 (1)	4.3	0.7					000X000
9. ASSIGNMENTS WERE WELL PREPARED, UNDERSTANDABLE, AND MISTAKE FREE. (ITEM 3018, WEIGHTS: 54321)	STRONGLY AGREE 57 (4)	-- 29 (2)	-- 0 (0)	-- 0 (0)	STRONGLY DISAGREE 0 (0)	14 (1)	4.7	0.5					00X00
10. WERE ASSIGNMENTS/PROJECTS RETURNED PROMPTLY? (ITEM 3019, WEIGHTS: 54321)	YES, ALWAYS 57 (4)	-- 29 (2)	-- 0 (0)	-- 0 (0)	NO, ALMOST NEVER 0 (0)	14 (1)	4.7	0.5					00X000
11. THE GRADING PROCEDURES FOR THE COURSE WERE: (ITEM 101, WEIGHTS: 54321)	VERY FAIR 71 (5)	-- 29 (2)	-- 0 (0)	-- 0 (0)	VERY UNFAIR 0 (0)	0 (0)	4.7	0.5					000X000

SEE NEWSLETTER 4--ICES INTERPRETIVE GUIDE--FOR A MORE COMPLETE DESCRIPTION. CALL 333-3490 FOR QUESTIONS AND MORE INFORMATION.

COMPARISON OF MEAN RATING TO

MEAN SD

CS FACULTY				
LOW	LOW		HI	
	AVG	AVG	AVG	HI
00X000				

TABLE 1

LOW	AVG	AVG	AVG	HI
	00X000			
				000X0000

3.3 1.7

000X0000

000X00

					000X0000
					000X00
					000X00
LOW	LOW AVG	AVG		HI AVG	HI

SEE NEWSLETTER 4--ICES INTERPRETIVE GUIDE--FOR A MORE COMPLETE DESCRIPTION. CALL 333-3490 FOR QUESTIONS AND MORE INFORMATION.